REMARKS

Claim 24-29, 31-35, 36-41 and 44-46 were pending but only claim 35 was being

examined. Applicants have amended claim 35 and added new claims 47-53.

Accordingly, only claims 35 and 47-53 are being examined.

Support for amended claim 35 and new claims 47-53 may be found in the claims and

specification as originally filed. Accordingly, these changes do not involve new matter

and Applicants respectfully request entry of these changes.

Support for new amended claim 35 may be found in the specification as originally filed at

page 30, lines 22-29.

Support for new claim 47 may be found in the specification as originally filed at page 30,

lines 22-29.

Support for new claim 48 may be found in the specification as originally filed at page 28,

lines 27-29, page 29, lines 4-9 and lines 16-21 and originally filed claim 34.

Support for new claim 49 may be found in the specification as originally filed at page 12,

lines 36-38 and page 31, lines 15-17.

Support for new claim 50 may be found in the specification as originally filed at page 28,

lines 25-30.

Support for new claim 51 may be found in the specification as originally filed at page 30,

lines 25-38 and page 31, lines 1-5.

Richard Harkins et al. Serial No. 10/616,279

Filed: July 8, 2003

Page 12

Support for new claims 52 and 53 may be found in the specification as originally filed at

page 30, lines 15-16 and page 31, lines 8-20.

In accordance with the changes to the claims and the remarks that follow, Applicants

respectfully request reconsideration of the outstanding rejections.

ITEM 1: STATUS OF CLAIMS

At page 2 of the Office Action, the Office acknowledges Applicants' amendment filed on

June 6, 2006, and confirms that claims 1-23, 30, 42 and 43 have been cancelled, claims

24-29, 31-34, 36-41 and 44-46 have been withdrawn from consideration as being drawn

to a non-elected invention and claim 35 is under examination.

ITEM 2: REJECTION UNDER 35 U.S.C § 102(b)

At pages 2-3 of the Office Action, the Office has indicated that the rejection of claim 35

under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by Sheppard (WO 98/45442) as evidenced

by Kreitman (Exp. Op. Pharmacother, 2000, 1:1117-1129) has been withdrawn. No

response is due.

ITEMS 3-5: DOUBLE PATENTING

At pages 3-4 of the Office Action, the Office has provisionally rejected claim 35 as

allegedly unpatentable over:

(A) copending Application No. 10/624,884, and

(B) copending Application No. 10/895,183.

Applicants respectfully disagree.

Richard Harkins et al. Serial No. 10/616,279

Filed: July 8, 2003

Page 13

In response, regarding item (A) above, Applicants respectfully point out that this issue is

not ripe. Applicants will revisit this issue at the appropriate time, i.e., when the Patent

Office allows the overlapping claims in the subject application.

Regarding item (B) above, the subject application claims a method for selectively

destroying a cell expressing the polypeptide of SEQ ID NO:2, the method comprising

contacting said cell with an amount of an immunoconjugate that can destroy said cell.

However, the copending Application No. 10/895,183 claims human antibodies

comprising specific light chain and heavy chain sequences. The subject application

neither claims nor teaches human antibodies comprising specific light and heavy chain

sequences. Therefore, the claimed invention is patentably distinct from that of the

copending Application No. 10/895,183. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request that

the Office withdraw the rejection.

ITEMS 6-8: REJECTION UNDER 35 U.S.C. §112 FIRST PARAGRAPH

At pages 4-7 of the Office Action, the Office rejected claim 35 under 35 U.S.C. §112,

first paragraph, as allegedly non-enabling and failing to comply with the written

description requirement.

(A) The Office rejected claims 35 alleging that the specification does not reasonably

provide enablement for a method of destroying a cell by contacting said cell with

immunoconjugates that comprise therapeutic agents or with immunoconjugates that

comprise antibodies that bind epitopes 70% identical to the listed epitopes.

Applicants respectfully disagree.

Richard Harkins et al. Serial No. 10/616,279

Filed: July 8, 2003

Page 14

However, in the interest of furthering the prosecution of the subject application,

Applicants' have amended the claim to remove the recitation of "a polypeptide which is

at least 70% identical to the polypeptide[s]" recited in the claim, and have also amended

the claim to recite "cytotoxic agent" instead of "therapeutic agent". Accordingly,

Applicants respectfully request that the Office withdraw the rejection.

(B) The Office rejected claim 35 as allegedly failing to comply with the written

description requirement. The Office alleges that the specification does not define the

term "therapeutic agent" or identify the structural or functional properties required of the

member of the genus of therapeutic agent.

Applicants respectfully disagree.

However, in the interest of furthering the prosecution of the subject application,

Applicants have amended the claim to recite "cytotoxic agent" instead of "therapeutic

agent". Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request that the Office withdraw the

rejection.

CONCLUSION

Entry of this Amendment and the foregoing remarks are respectfully requested. Applicants

believe that all grounds for rejection of the claims have been overcome and that the claims

pending are now in condition for allowance. Withdrawal of the Patent Office's rejections is

requested and prompt allowance of the claims is solicited. If any issues remain in

connection with the claim, the Examiner is encouraged to contact the undersigned by

telephone to discuss the same.

Richard Harkins et al. Serial No. 10/616,279 Filed: July 8, 2003

Page 15

No fees, other than the \$450.00 for a two-month of extension of time fee, are deemed necessary in connection with the filing of this Amendment. If any fee is necessary, the Patent Office is authorized to charge any additional fee to Deposit Account No. 50-0306.

Respectfully submitted,

Sarah B. Adriano

Registration No. 34,470

SaraLynn Mandel

Registration No. 31,853

Mandel & Adriano

55 S. Lake Avenue, Suite 710

Sarah BAR

Pasadena, CA 91101

(626) 395-7801

Customer No. 26,941